Case 5:04-cv-01787-JW Document 30 Filed 10/19/05 Page 1 of 4

1	LYNNE C. HERMLE (STATE BAR NO. 997 JOSEPH C. LIBURT (STATE BAR NO. 1555			
2	JESSICA R. PERRY (STATE BAR NO. 2093 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LL	21)		
3	1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025			
4	Telephone: 650-614-7400 Facsimile: 650-614-7401			
5	Attorneys for Defendants			
6	APPLE COMPUTER, INC. and DAVID BLACK			
7	WAUKEEN Q. MCCOY, ESQ.			
8	LAW OFFICES OF WAUKEEN Q. MCCOY 703 Market Street, Suite 1407			
9	San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone: 415-675-7705			
10	Facsimile: 415-675-2530			
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff NANCY ZAYED			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14				
15	NANCY ZAYED, an individual,	Case No. C-04-1787		
16	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		
17	v.	ORDER TO ALLOW PLAINTIFF TO FILE A SECOND AMENDED		
18	APPLE COMPUTERS, a corporation doing	COMPLAINT, CHANGE TIME REGARDING SUMMARY		
19	business in California; DAVID BLACK, an individual, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	JUDGMENT FILING, AND FOR ADDITIONAL PAGES FOR		
20	Defendants.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND OPPOSITION THERETO		
21		LOCAL RULE 6-2		
22		***MODIFIED BY THE COURT*** THE PRELIMINARY		
23		PRETRIAL/TRIAL SET CONFERENCE IS CONTINUED TO		
24		MON. MARCH 20, 2006 AT 11AM		
25				
26				
27				
28				

Case 5:04-cv-01787-JW Document 30 Filed 10/19/05 Page 2 of 4

1	The parties hereto, and their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:	
2	WHEREAS the plaintiff has stated that she will request leave to file the Second	
3	Amended Complaint containing 12 claims for relief, attached hereto as Exhibit A;	
4	WHEREAS without waiving any objection they may have to the Second Amended	
5	Complaint, and subject to the Court's approval of all provisions of this Stipulation, defendants	
6	will not object to plaintiff's request for leave to file the Second Amended Complaint attached	
7	hereto as Exhibit A;	
8	WHEREAS the Court previously entered a Scheduling Order in this case on	
9	February 1, 2005;	
10	WHEREAS the Scheduling Order sets November 28, 2005 as the final date to hear	
11	dispositive motions, and according to the local rules, the last date to file a dispositive motion is	
12	October 24, 2005;	
13	WHEREAS it would cause defendants unwarranted prejudice to attempt to file a	
14	summary judgment motion before having sufficient time to analyze and answer plaintiff's Second	
15	Amended Complaint;	
16	WHEREAS due to the number of issues that will be addressed in the parties'	
17	summary judgment briefs (including 12 claims for relief), the parties agree that they should be	
18	permitted an additional five (5) pages in addition to the length permitted by Local Rules 7-2 and	
19	7-3 in their respective memoranda of points and authorities which will be filed in relation to	
20	Defendants' motions for summary judgment.	
21	WHEREAS discovery closed on October 3, 2005;	
22	WHEREAS no trial date has yet been set by the Court;	
23	WHEREAS the Parties agree that although discovery in this case has proceeded,	
24	the parties have not yet conducted expert discovery pursuant to the Court's July 22, 2005	
25	modification to its February 1, 2005 Scheduling Order;	
26	WHEREAS the Parties believe that extending the expert witness deadlines set	
27	forth in the Court's July 22, 2005 Order until after the Court rules on the parties' dispositive	
28	motions will be conducive to the efficient and expedient conduct of this litigation and will serve	

1	the ends of justice and avoid unnecessary costs; and		
2	WHEREAS the Parties have previously requested one time modification to the		
3	Scheduling Order deadlines set by the Court to extend the expert witness deadlines,		
4	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS AGREED AS FOLLOWS:		
5	1. Plaintiff shall be permitted leave to file the Second Amended Complaint		
6	attached hereto. The Second Amended Complaint shall be deemed filed on the date this Order is		
7	entered.		
8	2. The deadlines set forth in the Court's February 1, 2005, Scheduling Order		
9	shall be modified as follows:		
10	shan be modified as follows.		
11	(a) the last day for the Court to hear dispositive motions is February 6,		
12	2006;		
13	(b) the deadline to disclose expert witnesses pursuant to F.R.C.P. 26(a)		
14	is March 20, 2006;		
15	(c) the deadline to disclose rebuttal expert witnesses is April 3, 2006;		
16	(d) the hearing deadline for motions objecting to the qualifications or		
17	proposed testimony of any expert is May 27, 2006;		
18	(e) The discovery deadline is extended to May 1, 2006, as to expert		
19	discovery only, and discovery shall remain closed for all non-exper		
20	discovery. (f) The Preliminary pretrial/trial set conference is reset to Mar.20,2006@11an 3. The parties shall be permitted an additional five (5) pages in addition to the		
21			
22	length permitted by Local Rules 7-2 and 7-3 in their respective memoranda of points and		
23	authorities which will be filed in relation to Defendants' motions for summary judgment.		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		

Case 5:04-cv-01787-JW Document 30 Filed 10/19/05 Page 4 of 4

1	4. This Stipulation shall be made an Order of the Court.	
2	Dated: October 17, 2005	LYNNE C. HERMLE
3	Butea. Getober 17, 2003	JOSEPH C. LIBURT JESSICA R. PERRY
4		ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
5		
6		/s/
7		Jessica R. Perry Attorneys for Defendants
8		Apple Computer, Inc. and David Black
9	Dated: October 17, 2005	WAUKEEN Q. MCCOY, ESQ.
10		LAW OFFICÈS OF WAÚKEÈN Q. MCCOY
11		
12		/s/
13		Rachael Orejana Attorneys for Plaintiff
14		Nancy Zayed
15	<u>ORDER</u>	
16	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17		
18	Dated: Oct. 19, , 2005	
19		Hon. James Wale UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
27 28		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE SECOND